

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/417,428   | 10/13/1999      | DANIEL'F. LYMAN         | 1923-48641             | 7415             |  |
| 7  | 7590 01/27/2003 |                         |                        |                  |  |
| DIKE BRONSTEIN ROBERTS & CUSHMAN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY PRACTICE GROUP EDWARDS & ANGELL |                 |                         | EXAMINER               |                  |  |
|  |                 |                         | CEGIELNIK, URSZULA M   |                  |  |
| P O BOX 9169<br>BOSTON, MA 02209   |                 |                         |                        | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| 2001011,1111   |                 |                         | 3712                   |                  |  |
|  |                 | DATE MAILED: 01/27/2003 | ATE MAILED: 01/27/2003 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| •   | ·              |                        |   |     |  |  |  |
|---|----------------|------------------------|---|-----|--|--|--|
|   | Application    | n No.                  | Applicant(s)  | (H  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Commons   | 09/417,428     | 3                      | LYMAN, DANIEL F.                                    |     |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner       |                        | Art Unit  |     |  |  |  |
| TI. MAII INO DATE of this communication com   | Urszula M      |                        | 3712  |     |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply   | ears on the    | cover sneet with the d | orrespondence addr                                  | ess |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |                |                        |   |     |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Noember 2002</u> .   |                |                        |   |     |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi   | is action is r | non-final.             |   |     |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |                |                        |   |     |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>  |                |                        |   |     |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.   |                |                        |   |     |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |                |                        |   |     |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |                |                        |   |     |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.   |                |                        |   |     |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |                |                        |   |     |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  | r election re  | quirement.             |   |     |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  | _              |                        |   |     |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |                |                        |   |     |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |                |                        |   |     |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.   |                |                        |   |     |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |                |                        |   |     |  |  |  |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  |                |                        |   |     |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |                |                        |   |     |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |                |                        |   |     |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |                |                        |   |     |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |                |                        |   |     |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |                |                        |   |     |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>  |                |                        |   |     |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |                |                        |   |     |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |                |                        |   |     |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |                |                        |   |     |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | ·              | · <u></u>              | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s)<br>Patent Application (PTO- |     |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/417,428

Art Unit: 3712

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by French Publication No. 2640886, hereinafter FR '886.

FR '886 discloses an amusement and stress relief device (see attached sheet) formed of a flexible, resilient polymeric material consisting of a center portion with a planar peripheral portion surrounding the center portion; the center portion having a concave first lower surface and a convex first upper surface, the device having two stable equilibrium positions wherein a first stable equilibrium position comprises the first lower surface having a concave shape and the first upper surface having a convex shape and a second stable equilibrium position comprises the first upper surface now having a concave shape and the first lower surface now having a convex shape, whereby the second equilibrium position provides a shape that is the same as a shape of the device in the first equilibrium position and the device will hold the second equilibrium position until an external force causes it to return to the first equilibrium position.

Art Unit: 3712

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over FR '886.

FR '886 discloses the claimed invention except for the device having dimensions of a particular range as set forth in claims 2-8; the device being made of a particular polymeric material as recited in claims 9 and 16; the surfaces having an illustration or different textures as claimed in claims 10-13; the polymeric material being a colored resin as required by claim 17, and scent being added to the material as recited in claim 14.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device with the dimensions of a particular range as set forth in claims 2-8, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.* 

Yet still, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device with the particular polymeric material claimed in claims 9 and 16, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a

Application/Control Number: 09/417,428

Art Unit: 3712

worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.* 

Moreover, it is an obvious design choice as to what type of dimensions the device has; what type of material the device is made from; the device having an illustration on its surface; a scent added to the material, and the texture of the surface. On the other hand, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of FR '886 to provide the device in various sizes, textures, colors and scent as claimed for the purpose of making the device more amusing and interesting. It would also have been obvious to modify the device of FR '886 as set forth immediately above, as an obvious choice of design and for aesthetic reasons.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Urszula M. Cegielnik whose telephone number is 703-306-5806. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from 6:45AM - 3:15PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris H. Banks can be reached on 703-308-1745. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/417,428

Art Unit: 3712

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Customer Service at 703-306-5648.

Urszula M. Cegielnik Assistant Examiner Art Unit 3712

> DERRIS H. BANKS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

1/1 FIG.1 plonar peripheral portion first equilibrium position second equilibrium position FIG. 3 FIG. 2 concave -concave surface convex surface 2 convex surfoce FIG.5 F1G.4 FIG.7 FIG.6